

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/796,124	03/10/2004	Ichiro Matsubara	Q79432	2477
23373 7:	590 05/30/2006		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800			SINES, BRIAN J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			1743	
		·	DATE MAILED: 05/30/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/796,124	MATSUBARA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian J. Sines	1743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr e, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed tom the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•					
·- ·	 s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	' <u> </u>					
closed in accordance with the practice under the	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application	l .					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		e Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc	tion is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	•	. , , , , ,				
 Certified copies of the priority document 	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Applic	ation No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been rece	ived in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not recei	ived.				
Attachment(s)	Λ . Π	(DTO 440)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) [_] Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informa	al Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) [_] Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/796,124 Page 2

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-11, drawn to a gas sensor and associated method of making the gas sensor, classified in class 422, subclass 83.

II. Claims 12-16, drawn to a method of manufacturing a hybrid organic/inorganic

material, classified in class 29, subclass 592.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product made and process of making the product. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. For example, the hybrid material used in the gas sensor of invention I could be made by a process using different steps or a different sequence of steps. In addition, the hybrid material made by the process of invention I is not limited to being utilized as a gas sensing element.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Sines whose telephone number is (571) 272-1263. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (11 AM - 8 PM EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,124 Page 4

Art Unit: 1743

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Bian Sim